# ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF STABLE BRIDGES* 

## V. I. UKHOBOTOV

A method of constructing stable bridges is described, and a class of games for which this method makes it possible to construct such bridge in explicit form is indicated.

1. Let us consider a controlled process whose equations of motion are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{*}=f(z, u, v), z \in R^{n}, u \in U \subset R^{n}, v \in V \subset R^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A closed set $Z$ is specified in $R^{n}$. The maximal $u$-stable bridge / / / leading to the target $Z$ at the specified time is to be constructed.

Polynomial mapping $r_{\sigma} / 2 /$ may be used for constructing the bridge. Tet the set $X \in R^{n}$ and the number $\sigma \geqslant 0$ be specified. Then $T_{\sigma}(X)$ is a set of points $z \in R^{n}$ for each of which it is possible to indicate on any measurable control $v(t) \in V$ a measurable control $u(t) \in U$ such that $z(\sigma) \in X$, where $z(\sigma)$ is the solution of system (1.1) with the initial condition $z(0)=z$.

By imposing on the right-hand side of system (1.1) and on the sets $U$ and $V$ certain conditions we impart on the mapping $T_{\sigma}$ the following properties: 1) if set $X$ is closcd, then $T_{\sigma}(X)$ is also closed; 2) if $X \subset X_{1}$, then $\left.T_{\sigma}(X) \subset T_{\sigma}\left(X_{1}\right) ; 3\right) \quad T_{0}(X)=X, \quad$ and 4) $T_{\sigma_{1}}\left(T_{\sigma_{1}}(X)\right) \subset$ $T_{\mathfrak{\sigma}^{2}+\mathbb{\sigma}_{2}}(X)$.

A collection of closed sets (which we denote by $w(t)$ ) that satisfy the inclusion $T_{\sigma}(W(t-\sigma)) \supset W(t)$ and the equality $W(0)=Z$ was constructed in $/ 2 /$ using mapping $T_{\sigma}$. This collection of sets satisfies among other properties, the following maximality condition: if $z \equiv W(t)$, there exists finite set of positive numbers $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$ whose sum equals $t$, and $z \boxminus T_{\sigma_{1}} \cdot\left(\ldots T_{\sigma_{k}}(Z) \ldots\right)$.

Let us show another scheme of constructing a maximal $u$-stable bridge $W(t)$. For integral $k \geqslant 1$ we set $W^{k}(0)=L$, and for $t>0$ we determine $W^{k}(t)$ by the recurrent formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1}(t)=T_{i}(Z), \ldots, W^{k+1}(t)=\bigcap_{0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant i} T_{\tau}\left(W^{k}(t-\tau)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $k \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 0$ the constructed sets are closed.
Lemma 1.1. $W^{k+1}(t) \subset W^{h}(t)$ when $k>1$ and $t \geqslant 0$.
Proof. When $k=1$ the required inclusion follows from (1.2) and property 4 of mapping $T_{\sigma}$.

Let for some $k>1$ the required inclusion is satisfied for all $t \geqslant 0$. Then using property 2 of mapping $T_{\sigma}$, we obtain

$$
W^{k+2}(t)=\bigcap_{0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t} T_{\tau}\left(W^{k+1}(t-\tau)\right) \subset \bigcap_{0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant 1} T_{\tau}\left(W^{k}(t-\tau)\right)=W^{k+1}(t)
$$

Lemma 1.2. For any $t>0$ and any set $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k}$, consisting of $k$ positive numbers whose sum is equal $t$ the inclusion

$$
W^{k}(t) \subset T_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}\left(\ldots T_{\sigma_{k}}(Z) \ldots\right)
$$

```
is satisfied.
```

Proof. As implied by (1.2) the required inclusion is satisfied when $k=1$. Let the inclusion which is being proved be satisfied for some $k \geqslant 1$ and all $t>0$. Then, as implied by (1.2) and property 2 of mapping $\tau_{\sigma}$ the inclusion

$$
W^{k+1}(t)=T_{\sigma_{1}}\left(W^{k}\left(t-\sigma_{1}\right)\right) \subset T_{\sigma_{1}}\left(T_{\sigma_{2}} \cdot\left(\ldots T_{\sigma_{k+1}}(Z) \ldots\right)\right)
$$

is satisfied for any set $\sigma_{1} \ldots, \sigma_{k+1}$ consisting of $k+1$ positive numbers whose sum is equal $t$.
Theorem. $W(t)=\prod_{k \geqslant 0} W^{k}(t)$.
Proof. When $t=0$, the left- and right-hand sides of this equality contain the set $Z$.
Consider the case of $t>0$. By induction with respect to $k$ we shall prove that $W(t) \subset$ $W^{k}(t)$ for all $k \geqslant 1$. When $k=1$ we have

[^0]$$
W(t) \subset T_{t}(W(0))=T_{t}(\mathbb{Z})=W^{1}(t)
$$

Let the inclusion that is being proved be satisfied for some $k \geqslant 1$ for all $t>0$. Then for $0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t$

$$
W(t) \subset T_{\tau}(W(t-\tau)) \subset T_{\tau}\left(W^{k}(t-\tau)\right)
$$

from which and (1.2) follows the inclusion $W(t) \subset W^{k+1}(t)$.
Let us now show that $W(t) \supset \cap W^{i t}(t)$. Let the point $z \equiv W(t)$. Then, as implied by the maximality condition of bridge $W(t)$, there exists a finite set of positive numbers $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}$ whose sum is equal $t$, and $z \in T_{\sigma_{1}}\left(\ldots T_{\sigma_{i}}(Z) \ldots\right)$.

This and Lemma 1.2 show that $z \equiv W^{i}(t)$. Hence $z \equiv \cap W^{k}(t)$ and, consequently, the required inclusion is proved.

Corollary 1. Let there exist numbers $t_{0}>0$ and $k \geqslant 1$ such that $W^{k+1}(t)=W^{*}(t)$ for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$. Then $W(t)=W^{k}(t)$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$

Proof. It follows from the condition that $W^{i+1}(t)=W^{t}(t)$ and (1.2) that $W^{k}(t)=W^{i}(t)$ for all $i \geqslant k$ and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$. The required equality follows from this and the previous theorem.

Corollary 2. Let the conditions of the preceding corollary be satisfied, and let there exist a sequence $t_{i} \rightarrow t_{0}, t_{i}>t_{0}$ such that the sets $W^{k}\left(t_{i}\right)$ are empty. Then $W(t)=W^{k i 1}(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.

Proof. Let us show that $W^{i+1}(t)=W^{k+2}(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$. For this it is sufficient to show that the set $W^{k+1}(t)$ is empty for any $t>t_{0}$.

Let us take $t_{i}$ such that $\tau=t-t_{i}>0$. It follows then from (1.2) that $W^{k+1}(t) \subset T_{\tau}\left(W^{k}\left(t_{i}\right)\right)$. The set in the right-hand side of this inclusion is empty.
2. Let us consider the linear game

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{*}=C z-u+v, z \in R^{n}, u \in U \subset R^{n}, v \in V \subset R^{\prime} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant matrix, and $U$ and $V$ convex compacts.
We assume that an $m$-dimensional Euclidean space $R^{m}$ and the linear mapping $\pi: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$ are specified. A closed set $E$ is specified in $R^{m}$. The terminal set $Z$ is specified as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left\{z \in R^{\prime \prime}: \pi z \cong E\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(t)=\pi e^{i C}, \quad J_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\int_{i_{1}}^{t_{0}} \pi_{1}(t) U d t, \quad J_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\int_{i_{1}}^{t_{1}} \pi_{1}(t) V d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the definition of the geometric remainder $\stackrel{*}{-}$ of two sets $/ 3 /$, il is possible to show that for any $0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t$ the set $T_{\tau}\left(T_{1-\tau}(Z)\right)$ is the aggregate $z \in R^{n}$ of the form

Assumption 1. There exists in $R^{m}$ the basis $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ and continuous functions $a_{i}(t) \leqslant$ $A_{i}(t), i=1, \ldots, m$ such that for any $t \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(t) U=\left\{x \in R^{m}: a_{i}(t) \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant A_{i}(t), i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(x_{i}, x\right)$ is a scalar product.
Note some of the properties of polyhedrons of form (2.5).
Let numbers $p_{i} \leqslant P_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$. be specified. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left\{x \in R^{m}: p_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant P_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $B$ be a compact in $R^{m}$, and $b_{i}=\min \left(x_{i}, x\right)$ and $B_{i}=\max \left(x_{i}, x\right)$, where $\min$ and $\max$ are taken with respect to $x \in B$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \ddot{-B}=\left\{x \in R^{m}: p_{i}-b_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant p_{i}-B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ belong to the set appearing in the right-hand side of (2.7). Let us take any vector $y \in B$ and show that $x+y \in P$. We have $p_{i}-b_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant P_{i}-B_{i}$ and $b_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, y\right) \leqslant B_{i}$. Adding these inequalities and taking into account the form of set (2.6) we obtain the required inclusion.

Let $x \in P \neq B$. Then $x+y \in P$ for any $y \in B$. Hence $p_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right)+\left(x_{i}, y\right)<p_{i}$ for any $y \in B$. Thus, taking into account the definition of numbers $b_{i}$ and $B_{i}$, we conclude that $x$ belongs to the set appearing in the right-hand side of (2.7).

Lemma (2.2). Let the numbers $b_{i} \leqslant B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$ be given. We set

$$
l=\left\{x \in R^{m}: b_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P+B=\left\{x \in R^{m}: p_{i}+b_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant P_{i}+B_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ belong to set $M$ which appears at the right-hand side of (2.8). If we can find a vector $y \equiv B$ such that $x-y \in P$, the inclusion $x \in P+B$ will be proved.

The inequalities that are satisfied by the numbers $\left(x_{i}, x\right)$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{i}, x\right)=\left(\mu_{i}+b_{i}+p_{i}+b_{i}+\lambda_{i}\left(P_{i}+B_{i}-p_{i}-b_{i}\right)\right) / 2,\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leqslant 1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ is the basis in $R^{m}$, there exists a vector $y \in R^{m}$ such that

$$
\left(x_{i}, y\right)=\left(B_{i}+b_{i}+\lambda_{i}\left(B_{i}-b_{i}\right)\right) / 2
$$

From this and (2.9) follows that

$$
\left(x_{i}, x-y\right)=\left(P_{i}+p_{i}+\lambda_{i}\left(P_{i}-p_{i}\right)\right) / 2
$$

Taking into account that $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$, we obtain $y \in B$ and $x-y \in P$.
The inclusion $P+B \subset M$ directly follows from the form of sets $P$ and $B$.
Using Lemma 2.2. and Assumption 1 it is possible to show that the set $J_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, (2.3) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left\{x \in R^{m}: \int_{i_{1}}^{t_{2}} a_{i}(t) d t \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant \int_{i_{1}}^{t_{2}} A_{i}(t) d t, i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumption 2. There exist numbers $\varepsilon_{i} \leqslant \beta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ such that the set $E$ in equality (2.2) is of the form

$$
E=\left\{x \in R^{m}: \varepsilon_{i} \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant \beta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

We introduce the notation /

$$
u_{i}(t)=\min _{x}\left(x_{i}, x\right), B_{i}(t)=\max _{x}\left(x_{i}, x\right), x \in \pi_{1}(t) V, \quad \mu_{i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(a_{i}(\tau)-b_{i}(\tau)\right) d \tau, \quad v_{i}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(A_{i}(\boldsymbol{v})-B_{i}(\tau)\right) d \tau
$$

set $\tau=0$ in (2.4) and, using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and equality (2.10), obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1}(t)=\left\{z \in l^{n}: \varepsilon_{i}+\mu_{i}(t) \leqslant\left(x_{i}, \pi_{1}(t) z\right) \leqslant \beta_{i}+v_{i}(t), \quad i=1, \quad \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=\sup \left\{t \geqslant 0: \varepsilon_{i}+\mu_{i}(\tau) \leqslant \beta_{i}+v_{i}(\tau), \quad 0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t, \quad i=1, \ldots, m\right\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$ set (2.11) is nonempty. It follows from (2.4), Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that $T_{\tau}\left(T_{1-\tau}(Z)\right)=T_{t}(Z)$ for $0 \leqslant \tau \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$. Hence $W^{2}(t)=W^{1}(t)$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$.

Let $t_{0}=+\infty$, then from Corollary 1 we obtain $W(t)=W^{1}(t)$ for all $t \geqslant 0$.
If $t_{0}<+\infty$, then from the definition of the number $t_{0}$ in (2.12) and from equality (2.11) follows that there exists a sequence of numbers $t_{i} \rightarrow t_{0}, t_{i}>t_{0}$ such that the sets $W^{1}\left(t_{i}\right)$ are empty. By virtue of Corollary $2 W(t)=W^{2}(t)$. In other words, $W(t)=T_{t}(Z)$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant t_{0}$ and the set $W(t)$ is empty for $t>t_{0}$.
3. The result obtained in Sect. 2 can be used for solving the game problem with fixed time $t_{1}$ and final payoff defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(z\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}\left|\left(x_{i}, \pi z\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having selected control $u$, the first player strives to minimize the quantity (3.1), while the second tries to maximize it.

For the determination of the value $G(z)$ of such game ( $z$ is the initial position) we follow /2/. For each $\beta \geqslant 0$ we set

$$
E(\beta)=\left\{x \in R^{m}:-\beta \leqslant\left(x_{i}, x\right) \leqslant \beta, i=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

Then, as implied by (3.1), the set $Z(\beta)$ of those points $z \in R^{n}$ at which $g(z) \leqslant \beta$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\beta)=\left\{z \in R^{u}: x z \in E(\beta)\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $W\left(t_{1}, \beta\right)$ the stable bridge which leads to target (3.2). In this notation the value of the game is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\min \left\{\beta \geqslant 0: z \in W\left(t_{1}, \beta\right)\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set in (2.11) and (2.12) $\varepsilon_{i}=-\beta, \beta_{i}=\beta$, the number $t_{0}$ in (2.12) depends on $\beta$, i.e. $t_{0}, t(\beta)$. From (3.3), (2.11), and (2.12) we obtain that $G(z)$ is equal to the smallest of numbers $\beta \geqslant 0$ that satisfy the following two inequalities:

$$
\left.\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m}^{t_{1} \leqslant t(\beta)}\left(x_{i}, \pi_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) z\right)-\left(v_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)+\mu_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) / 2 \mid+\left(\mu_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)-v_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) / 2\right) \leqslant \beta
$$

of which the first shows that the set $W\left(t_{1}, \beta\right)$ is nonempty, and the second is equivalent to the inclusion $z \subseteq W\left(t_{1}, \beta\right)$.

As an example, let us consider the game

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{\prime}=z_{3}+v_{1}, \quad z_{2}^{\prime}=z_{4}+v_{2}, v_{1}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}<1 \\
& z_{3}^{\circ}=u_{1}, z_{4}^{\prime}=u_{2},\left|u_{1}\right| \leqslant \mathbf{1},\left|u_{2}\right| \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the final payoff be $g\left(z\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \cdots \max \left(\left|z_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|,\left|z_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|\right)$. Calculation using the scheme expounded above show that the value of the game is of the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(z)=-G_{1}(z)=\max \left(\left|z_{1}+t_{1} z_{3}\right|,\left|z_{2} \div t_{1} z_{4}\right|\right)+t_{1}-t_{1} \because / \geq \text { for } \\
& t_{1} \leqslant 1 \\
& G(z)=\max \left(1 / 2, G_{1}(z)\right) \text { for } t_{1}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the successive procedures of constructing the function of the payoff value or of the minimax in the game of convergence at a specified time were considered, e.g., in $/ 4,5 /$.
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